

BT BRINJAL: ALLIANCE FOR CROOKED SCIENCE & CORPORATE LIES

Farida Akhter

Introduction

Brinjals, locally called *Begun* (in Bangla) by the people of Bangladesh, are the most common and favourite vegetable. On 17 May 2020 the *New Age*, a national daily of Bangladesh, published an article of mine [Akhter, 2020] titled “Aubergine Story: Local varieties exist, not GMOs”. In the article, I argued that in the month of Ramadan (month long fasting of the Muslim communities), the demand for brinjal (eggplant/aubergine) is the highest, because it is the main component of the most popular *Iftar* item, the *Beguni*. From the rich to the poor, *Iftar*¹ is incomplete without *chola-peyaju-beguni* on the plate. In the market, local varieties of brinjals were amply seen, but not Bt brinjal, although claimed by the promoters that smallholder farmers have rapidly adopted the crop, from just 20 in 2014 to more than 27,000 in 2019 across all districts of Bangladesh [Conrow, 2019].

The article referred to a UBINIG quick survey over telephone in April-May 2020, with farmers in eight districts and consumers in Dhaka to investigate how farmers were faring during the COVID-19 Lockdown period with the marketing of brinjals. These were sold for prices ranging from Tk 35 to Tk 80 a kilogram on the market. In early May, at least 26 different local varieties with beautiful names, specific to their agro-ecological locations, were found on the market. The prices of HYV brinjals were between Tk 25 - 50, and that of hybrid was Tk 45–55 per kilogram. Commercial farmers grow the HYV varieties on a large scale while the small farming households grow local varieties on a smaller scale in their small pieces of land. Interestingly, they are readily available on the market and have a good demand. Local varieties fared much better than the high yield varieties (HYVs) and hybrid varieties.



Jessori Begun



Shoila Begun



Jhumka-Sada

¹ *Iftar* (Arabic: إفتار, 'break of a fast'), is the evening meal with which Muslims end their daily Ramadan fast at sunset.

Bt brinjal seeds (Bt brinjal 1, 2, 3 and 4) for the winter season were given to farmers in different areas during the period of December 2019 to January 2020. If the claim of International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI) and the ministry of agriculture that 27,000 smallholding farmers were cultivating Bt brinjal across all districts of the country is true, then it is reasonable to expect that the new genetically modified crop would have grown enough in quantity to be visible in the market. The markets in eight districts and in Dhaka showed no presence of any Bt brinjal in late April–early May 2020. None of the sellers in the market could identify any Bt brinjal in their stock. None of the buyers interviewed in the Dhaka market could identify any aubergine which would be a GMO.

Could it be that they were in the market without any label? In that case, it is a clear case of violation of approval conditions of Bt brinjal in the country. We know that in October 2013, the National Committee on Biosafety (NCB) imposed seven conditions to be followed in field cultivation of the four Bt brinjals (1,2,3 & 4). One of these conditions was labeling — if Bt brinjal is brought to the market, it must be labeled, i.e., it should be clearly stated that it is GMO. But the Director General of BARI, Dr. Rafiqul Islam Mondol only agreed to label the sacks as 'poison-free GM brinjal' [Akhter, 2016], which was also not followed.



Source: *Bangladeshe Adhunik projuktir bt beguner jat udbhabon O utpadon projukti*, BARI, USAID, ABSPII & Cornell University, 2014

Culturally, farmers have the tradition of naming the brinjals they grow with beautiful local names such as Hingla begun, Batka begun, Tal-begun, Kalo-khato begun, Laoitta begun, Sailla begun, Ghritakanchan begun, Nayantara and many others. Brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.), also known as aubergine or eggplant) is one of the most common and important vegetables. It is an important solanaceous crop of the subtropics and tropics. In this rich diversity of brinjals, Bt brinjal is now a 'bejat' name in the list of hundreds of diverse varieties of aubergine in the country, because these are numbered like prisoners and are called Bt

brinjal 1, 2, 3 and 4. The word 'bejat' expresses the displacement in the order of crop varieties implicating potential harm to agriculture, food system and culture. In 'bejat', the original names of source materials have disappeared. Local names of brinjals are always related to specific agro-ecological conditions where a variety could express their natural genetic traits. But Bt brinjal seeds are given to

different geographical locations assuming a homogeneous agro-ecological environment where they do not belong. Now it is harder to decide where they belong, except in the gene-manipulating laboratories. Farmers cannot feel or determine any agro-ecological, culinary or cultural connections to laboratory varieties, such as for growing these brinjals. Therefore, farmers who received the seeds, having not being told the real name of the introduced Bt brinjal, called genetically engineered varieties as "Sarkrari Begun" or the "government brinjal".

The genetically modified Bt brinjal has been developed by inserting a gene *cry1Ac* from a soil bacterium called *Bacillus thuringiensis* through an *Agrobacterium*-mediated gene transfer. Four Bt brinjals are distributed to farmers for field cultivation. The original names of the varieties that had been selected for transgenic manipulation are Uttara (Bt brinjal-1), Kajla (Bt brinjal 2), Nayantara (Bt brinjal 3) and ISD-006 (bt brinjal 4). These are some of the most popular commercial varieties as well and they are also grown as non-Bt varieties. There are elements of deception in Bt brinjal field trial in selecting the most popular varieties; if farmers accept any transgenic variety, it could be claimed that genetic manipulation is a commercial success. But farmers' varieties, selected over hundreds of years, are already successful and proof of the brilliance of the farmer's knowledge. Genetic manipulation is merely a trick for appropriation of farmer's knowledge.

Bangladesh has been a target country for the Bt brinjal under the Agricultural Biotechnology Support Project II (ABSP II). The introgressions of Bt gene into 9 Bangladeshi local variety brinjals were done at MAHYCO, (Maharashtra Hybrid Seed Company) the Indian company, using their lab facility. MAHYCO has received the application rights of the Bt *cry1Ac* gene technology from US company Monsanto which has a 26 per cent stake in Mahyco-Monsanto Biotech (MMB). The Bangladeshi varieties were backcrossed at MAHYCO with transgenic brinjal containing *Cry1AC*. This means that there was hardly any scope for knowledge and technology transfer from MAHYCO's proprietary technology to the scientists working in public research institutions of Bangladesh. The Bt brinjal is actually a piracy of the local variety brinjals to be genetically modified for patenting by Monsanto-Mahyco partnership.

Under ABSP II, the three country partnership arrangement was extended to the Indian Institute of Vegetable Research, Varanasi, University of Philippines in Los Banos, a government research institute Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute (BARI) and a private seed company, East West Seeds, Bangladesh. The ABSP II is funded by USAID and led by Cornell University, USA.

On 25 May, 2020 *Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology* published an article based on a 2019 study on Bt brinjal claiming that 83.1% of Bt brinjal growers were satisfied with the yields obtained, and 80.6% were satisfied with the quality of fruit, while 58.7% non-Bt brinjal growers were satisfied with their yields and 28% indicated that a large portion of their fruit was infested. Among the non-Bt brinjal growers, 39.6% had not heard of Bt brinjal [Shelton, et. Al 2020]. Another article was published on 28 May, 2020 in the *Cornell CALS*, by Joan Conrow which referred to the same article published on May 25, 2020 in the *Frontiers* making a

conclusive statement that “farmers in Bangladesh achieved significantly higher yields and revenues by growing insect-resistant, genetically engineered eggplant”. However, the article quotes Maricelis Acevedo, Director for the Feed the Future South Asia Eggplant Improvement Partnership, “This study provides more evidence that Bt brinjal is being accepted in the market, but more work is needed to develop new varieties better adapted to local conditions and market preferences ” [Conrow, 2020]. It looks like they do not have updated information on the Bt brinjal farmers' performances in this year; it was simply a deceptive tactic using previous studies with newer headlines. The question remains, why are they not visible in the market?

Cornell University & Bt brinjal “success” lies

The Cornell Alliance for Science was launched in 2014 with a \$5.6 million grant from the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation to “add a stronger voice for science and depolarize the charged debate around agricultural biotechnology and genetically modified organisms (GMOs)” [CCR, 2015]. Cornell University is home to the controversial Cornell Alliance for Science, which is publicizing the Bangladesh Bt brinjal project. Its partners include the GMO industry group ISAAA, which is funded by Monsanto, CropLife, and Bayer. Cornell gave Mark Lynas a Visiting Fellowship and a platform to voice his pro-GMO views. Lynas now promotes GMOs “to the exclusion of almost everything else”. Cornell paid his travel expenses to the Philippines to write a pro-GMO article [GMW, 2015]



The role of Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute (BARI) from the beginning was guided by the ABSPII project guidelines, and it had to provide its Regional research stations for Field Testing and later on to get formal government approval for commercial cultivation in the farmer's field. Started back in 2005 it took seven years to complete greenhouse trials. The national bio-safety committee approved the contained field trial of Bt. Brinjal in 2007-08 [Ahmed, 2013].

However, the results of the contained field trial were not shared with relevant stakeholders before it was allowed for Open Field Trial. Later, Open-Field Trials of Bt brinjal were conducted in various agro-ecological zones in the country for local adaptability of the crop. From the beginning, the field research was conducted by BARI/USAID/ABSPII and Cornell University. Monsanto hardly appeared on those signboards, as all the signboards were in English. As the implementing agency, it said: Biotechnology Division, BARI, Gazipur ARS, USAID, ABSP-II & Cornell University [UBINIG, 2013].

There were also Danger signs for GMO field testing!

Danger Signboard on the Research Station Field

This Confined Trial is for research only
Not Approved for Human food or Animal Feed
Entrance is restricted
AUTHORISED PERSONNEL ONLY



But fencing in all the Research stations was hardly strong enough to restrict entrance of the public.

The role of the government was limited to getting approval from the National Committee on Biosafety (NCB) under the Ministry of Environment & Forest (MOEF) as recommended by the National Technical Committee on Crop Biotechnology (NTCCB) under the Ministry of Agriculture. The report of the performance of the Field Trials in the BARI research stations was never published nor is there any reference to it. UBINIG's investigation in the six regional stations of

BARI showed that the trials were not very satisfactory [UBINIG, 2013].

In a notification (in bangla) of October 30, 2013 bearing a reference No.22.00.0000.073.05.003.2012-271 the Environment Section-2 of the Ministry of Environment and Forestry provisionally approved the petition of BARI to cultivate Bt Begun varieties 1,2,3 and 4 in a limited scale at the field level with seven conditions. One of the conditions was for the applicant organization to take effective measures by labeling so that Bt Brinjal can be marketed as per Biosafety Rules. The Ministry of Agriculture till now, has not taken any such measure.

Strategies of Cornell University to promote Bt brinjal

Attracting the top leadership of the State- The Prime Minister



Ronnie Coffman, Director, Cornell University (left), Prime Minister Sheikh Hasina Wazed (middle) and Minister for Agriculture Matia Chowdhury (Right)

In May 2015, Cornell University Visiting Director, Ronnie Coffman, honored Prime Minister Sheikh Hasina with a citation at her office on behalf of the university's president David J Skorton. The citation signed by the president of the university read: "Prime Minister Sheikh Hasina's continuous support for the improvement of agriculture sector in Bangladesh and attain self-sufficiency in food production as well as her keen interest in promoting science and technology."

Ronnie Coffman of Cornell University informed the Prime Minister that the new variety of the brinjal can withstand pest attacks and hence can be free from pesticides. Sheikh Hasina thanked Cornell University for the innovation of Bt brinjal [NTV, 2015].

Lies & False Claims

Although Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute (BARI) is the responsible government institution in conducting the research and monitoring field cultivation, unfortunately it hardly provides information on the success or failures of Bt brinjal. For example, there is no information on BARI's website (www.bari.gov.bd). The Department of Agricultural Extension (DAE) which is responsible for distributing the Bt brinjal seeds to the targeted farmers, also has no information on their website (www.dae.gov.bd). They did not have to do any promotion of Bt brinjal, nor come up with any performance reports. No report has been published as research findings of the first two rounds of field cultivation except some propaganda

campaigns. Even the International Service for the Acquisition for Agri-Biotech Applications (ISAAA) did not publish any report after its Brief 47: The Status of Commercialized Bt Brinjal in Bangladesh, in 2014. There is nothing reported in 2015 about the so-called success of the second round of field cultivation. In the second round, Bt brinjal seedlings were given to 108 farmers, of which 79 farmers were interviewed and were found to have had massive failures [UBINIG, 2015].

For Cornell University, despite having big named scientists and propaganda journalists like Mark Lynas, it was not very easy to establish the claims of the so-called success of Bt brinjal cultivation in Bangladesh. Farmers' organizations like Nayakrishi Andolon, research organizations like UBINIG, environmental activist groups and individual activist journalists always had different reports published before and after the approval of Bt brinjal. Field areas including farmers fields were followed up and farmer's experiences of failures were documented. Repeatedly UBINIG and Nayakrishi proved that the so-called claim of success has no scientific and empirical basis. Till today, the promoters of GMOs failed to produce any scientific evidence that Bt-brinjal field trials were successful, nor could they show farmers had adopted their transgenic varieties. The false claims of successes were, hence, challenged.

The International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI) also undertook a study under the behest of the Ministry of Agriculture with 1200 farmers in 2018; the report was released in 2019 [Ahmed, 2019].

False Claims on Economic Gains

The IFPRI study findings claimed, "farmers, who cultivated the GM versions gained by 55 percent higher income compared to their peers growing the non-Bt brinjal" by over Tk. 30,000 per hectare. [IFPRI, 2019]

In Bangladesh the majority of farmers (84%) belong to small households, owning less than a hectare of land, and only 14% households have over a hectare to 3 hectares [BBS,2014]. Brinjal farmers are mostly small-scale farmers and allocate land to brinjal farming which is less than a hectare. Bt Brinjal farmers also fall into this category. In a UBINIG study (2019) 71% of farmers receiving Bt Brinjal seeds were small scale farmers and only 25% farmers were middle farmers. However, they do not allocate all the land they own for brinjal farming and also not to Bt Brinjal farming. In the initial round of Bt brinjal farming (2015-16), 33 farmers (89%) out of 37 allocated 33 decimals of land, i.e. less than one-third of an acre for Bt brinjal. The land allocated by the farmer for Bt brinjal cultivation varied by number of seedlings given and therefore it was found that the allocated land was between 4 decimals to 38 decimals. The land was selected and the amount was determined by the DAE official himself [UBINIG, 2019].

UBINIG field investigation showed a farmer cultivating Bt brinjal 2, and Bt brinjal 4 in a land of 33 decimals incurred a loss of Tk. 30,000, and another farmer had a loss of Tk.25,000 [Jony & Sobhan, 2016]. Showing there is hardly any basis for IFPRI's claim.

False Claim: Bt brinjal is Pesticide-free

Bangladesh is a country of a wide range of varieties/cultivars of brinjals. Bangladesh has at least 248 indigenous varieties of brinjals. Most of the varieties are resistant to major disease and pests. The major pests of brinjal include insects, mites, fungi, nematodes and bacteria. The fruit and shoot borer (*Leucinodes orbonalis*), for example, is one of the insect pests of brinjal. Some of the local varieties including Jhumka 1, Jhumka 2 are highly resistant to fruit and shoot borer; while, Islampuri 3, BL 34, Muktakeshi are fairly resistant, Singnath long and Singnath 4 are tolerant to brinjal shoot and fruit borer [Mannan et. al 2003].

Promoters claim that Bt brinjal is pesticide free. It is called “**Poka bihin begun**” (no-pest brinjal) meaning that it does not require use of pesticide for the most common pest, the Fruit and Shoot Borer (FSB). Therefore, GM crops are claimed to be safe because they do not need applications of a huge amount of pesticides. Interestingly, the IFPRI study did not claim ‘no use of pesticides’, but claimed there was 39 percent reduction in the quantity of pesticides applied and 51 percent reduction in the number of pesticide applications [IFPRI, 2019]. Although the major promotional message to the farmers was Bt brinjal does not require any application of pesticides and not merely reduction in the use of pesticide.

But the UBINIG field study found a different reality. The farmers had to use huge amounts of pesticides recommended by the supervising authorities of BARI and DAE. These included Comfidor, Ektara, Admasar, Dithane M-45, Bavistin, Thiovit, Basudin, Furadan, Borax, Demsa granular, Vim powder, Admire, 200sl (Bayer crop science), Bleaching powder, Heckel, Salclox, Diazinon etc. among the many other Insecticides and Fungicide sprayed, as provided by DAE. In the booklet distributed to some of the farmers, they recommended organic pesticides such as Neem seeds, Neem oil, powder soap, and Trix. Among the chemical pesticides Malathion, Omite, and Bavistin were suggested for different pest/disease attacks. It seems that in real situations, the supervising authorities were giving more pesticides than those recommended because of the different kinds of pest attacks.

In the field investigation of Bt brinjal's second round of field cultivation, pesticide use was more prominent than in the first round. Different pesticides were used several times, beginning from transplanting to growth, development to bearing and harvesting of fruits. The major pests observed in the Bt brinjal field included viruses, fungi, insects and mites. The virus infection included tulshi virus and mosaic virus. The fungi appeared as root rot, stem rot, wilting, leaf spot and fruit rot. The insects included aphids, leaf curlings, whiteflies, sucking insects, fruit and shoot borer, red mites, and many others. Thirty-five types of pesticides including acaricide, insecticide and fungicide were sprayed several times in the Bt brinjal fields, as per the directions of the supervising officials.

Five banned insecticides including Basudin, Bidrin, Darsbun, Diazinon and Furadan were used in different Btbrinjal fields. Thirty other pesticides used were not

from the list of 76 pesticides recommended for brinjal crop production in Bangladesh [UBINIG, 2015].

Hiring Liars and Propagandists Instead of Evidence-based Research

Mark Lynas is a frequent contributor and researcher at the Cornell Alliance for Science visited Bangladeshi Bt brinjal farmers, along with various scientists and others from Cornell University and the Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute. His organized visit was aimed to make everything successful. He tried to counter the reports written by the Bangladeshi journalists [New Age, 2014] as false! He visited the same Bt brinjal farmer and found (!) the crop in good health and the farmer happy [Lynas, 2014].

Media attention to Mark Lynas is generated by mostly the drama he draws from his own life. He claims, his life begins as "the first anti-GMO activist in the world", but ends as an avid GMO supporter, desperate to make amends for the movement he started. Bill Gates' Foundation has set up a position for Mark Lynas at Cornell, as part of the controversial Cornell Alliance for Science. This allows Lynas to do paid promotion for GMOs "to the exclusion of almost everything else" [GMW, 2015].

In the response to the article, published as a letter to the Editor on 4 May, 2015, Anne Lappe of Small Planet Institute said "Mark Lynas profile of one farmer in Bangladesh does not represent the facts on the ground about the genetically engineered eggplant there. The trials of the new variety of eggplant have actually had very poor results: genetic engineering did not protect plants from most pests and have led to crop loss and debt for farmers". Also she revealed that "Mr. Lynas' Bangladesh visit was organized by the new Cornell Alliance for Science, funded by a \$5.6 million grant from the Gates Foundation, that is promoting biotechnology, not dispassionately reviewing the science" [Akhter, 2015].

BBC Panorama: A Scandalous Promotion of Bt brinjal

BBC Panorama's programme, 'GM Food: Cultivating Fear', aired on 8 June, 2015 featured the pro-GMO campaigner Mark Lynas visiting an insecticidal Bt brinjal field in Bangladesh and enthusing about the performance of the crop, claiming 90% success for this controversial GM crop. The presenter Tom Heap, and his friend, GMO promoter Mark Lynas, had grossly misrepresented the so-called success of the brinjal crop.

Faisal Rahman, staff correspondent for the United News of Bangladesh (UNB) and the author of the report titled '*Bt brinjal turns out to be 'upset case' for farmers*' based on field visits and telephone interviews with farmers growing Bt brinjal in the second year Bt brinjal cultivation, challenged that there is no evidence to support the claim.

Faisal Rahman's report concluded that "*The cultivation of genetically engineered Bt brinjal in the country's several districts has cost the farmers their fortunes again this year as the plants have either died out prematurely or fruited very insignificantly compared to the locally available varieties.*" His evidence,

together with subsequent investigations by GMWatch, casts serious doubt on the credibility of the BBC Panorama programme [Robinson, 2015].

BBC Panorama featured the so-called success story of a farmer Hafizur Rahman, who was visited by Mark Lynas before. Lynas claimed that the Bt brinjal had "nearly doubled" productivity and that Hafizur Rahman had been able to sell the crop labelled "insecticide free". Lynas concluded, "Now, with increased profits, he looked forward to being able to lift his family further out of poverty." But after tracking down farmer Hafizur Rahman, UBINIG found almost every element of the Lynas narrative was misleading or false.

Visiting Hafizur Rahman UBINIG found that far from being a poor farmer that the GM crop is helping to lift out of poverty, as Lynas claimed, Hafizur Rahman is actually "a Polytechnic Graduate" and "well off commercial vegetable farmer". And the story about the GM crop enabling him to dispense with agrochemicals was far from the truth – multiple chemicals, including pesticides, were used on the crop. The farmer also complained that the Bt brinjal had a "rough surface and gets soft very quickly", unlike the traditional variety which is "shiny and remains fresh for a longer time" [GMW, 2015].

Two complaints were lodged to the Editorial Standard Committee (ESC) of the BBC Trust that its Panorama film '**GM Food: Cultivating Fear**'², broadcasted in June 2015, was biased and inaccurate and that it '*misled the audience by making a claim of success for a GM aubergine crop which is not supported by the evidence*'. BBC failed to provide sources for the 90% success rate and only referred to Dr Frank Shotkoski, director of the Agricultural Biotechnology Support Project II (ABSP II) programme at Cornell University [GMW, 2015].

Conclusion

Bt brinjal started with Monsanto as a proprietary owner of the technology, but the real game was played by ABSP II of USAID and the Cornell University backed by Bill Gates Foundation. Fortunately, Bangladesh land and environment has rejected the seed. It simply does not grow or give fruits. That's why they need propagandists like Mark Lynas and the so-called scientists to prove the 27,000 farmers of Bangladesh are happily (!) cultivating Bt brinjal.

And of course, you need Bill Gates to fund blatant lies, crooked science, commercial propaganda and destruction of agriculture and biodiversity of countries like Bangladesh.

² "BBC One - Panorama, GM Food - Cultivating Fear." BBC.
<https://www.bbc.co.uk/programmes/b05yy6k4>

References

- Akhter, 2020. Akhter, Farida Aubergine Story: Local Varieties exist, not GMOs The New Age, 17 May, 2020 <https://www.newagebd.net/article/106595/local-varieties-exist-not-gmos>
- Conrow, 2019. Conrow, Joan, Study confirms that GMO eggplant cuts pesticide use in Bangladesh, Cornell Alliance for Science, 7 March, 2019 <https://allianceforscience.cornell.edu/blog/2019/03/study-confirms-gmo-eggplant-cuts-pesticide-use-bangladesh/>
- Akhter, 2016. Akhter, Farida "Put a label on it: Consumers have the right to know what they are buying" Dhaka Tribune, 4 March 2016 <http://ubinig.org/index.php/home/showAerticle/86/english/Farida-Akhter/Bt-Brinjal:-Put-a-label-on-it>
- Shelton, et al, 2020. Shelton AM, Sarwer SH, Hossain MJ, Brookes G and Paranjape V (2020) Impact of Bt Brinjal Cultivation in the Market Value Chain in Five Districts of Bangladesh. Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol. 8:498. doi: 10.3389/fbioe.2020.00498, 25 May, 2020
- Conrow, 2020. Conrow, Joan "Bangladeshi farmers reap higher yields, profits from Bt eggplant" , CornellCALS, May 28, 2020 <https://cals.cornell.edu/news/bangladeshi-farmers-reap-higher-yields-profits-bt-eggplant>
- CCR, 2015. Corporate Crime Reporter; Gates Foundation Backed Pro-GMO Cornell Alliance for Science On the Attack, March 5, 2015 <https://www.corporatecrimereporter.com/news/200/gates-foundation-backed-pro-gmo-cornell-alliance-science-attack/>
- GMW, 2015. GM Watch, "Propaganda over facts? BBC Panorama and Bt brinjal 28 July, 2015 <https://gmwatch.org/en/news/latest-news/16320>
- Ahmed, 2013. Ahmed, Reaz Brinjal modified: Bangladesh set to join elusive club of 28 GM crop growing countries Daily Star July 11 2013. <http://www.thedailystar.net/beta2/news/brinjal-modified/>
- UBINIG, 2013. UBINIG, "Bangladesh does not need Bt brinjal: The approval story" 20 November 2013 unpublished
- NTV, 2015. NTV online, "US University honors Sheikh Hasina" 20 May, 2015 <https://en.ntvbd.com/bangladesh/4584/US-univ-honours-Sheikh-Hasina>
- UBINIG, 2015. UBINIG, "Bt brinjal under Life Support" Experiences of farmers in the second round field cultivation <http://ubinig.org/index.php/home/showAerticle/134/english/UBINIG-/Bt-Brinjal-Is-Under-%E2%80%98LIFE-SUPPORT%E2%80%99>
- Ahmed, 2019. Ahmed, Reaz, "5-yr after releasing its first GM crop Bangladesh says farmers gain by adopting Bt brinjal" Dhaka Tribune, 7 March, 2019 <https://www.dhakatribune.com/business/2019/03/07/5-yr-after-releasing-its-first-gm-crop-bangladesh-says-farmers-gain-by-adopting-bt-brinjal>
- IFPRI, 2019. IFPRI, Bt Brinjal Study: Pesticide Use Falls, But Risk Remains March 7, 2019 <http://bangladesh.ifpri.info/2019/03/07/bt-brinjal-study-pesticide-use-falls-but-risk-remains/>
- BBS, 2014. Statistical Pocketbook, Bangladesh 2014, Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics, Ministry of Planning, GOB
- UBINIG, 2019. UBINIG 'Adoption' & abandoning of Bt brinjal cultivation: Farmers' Experience Survey: On farm Trials on Bt brinjal Varieties during 2014-15; January, 2019, unpublished <http://ubinig.org/index.php/home/showAerticle/207/english/UBINIG/%E2%80%98Adoption%E2%80%99-&-abandoning-of-Bt-brinjal-cultivation:->

- Farmers%E2%80%99-Experience-Survey
- Jony & Sobhan, 2016. Jony, Jahangir Alam & M.A. Sobhan "Bt brinjal failed in farmers' field" August 2016
<http://ubinig.org/index.php/home/showArticle/87/english/Jahangir-Alam-Jony-and-M.-A.-Sobhan/Bt-brinjal-failed-in-farmers'-field>
 - Mannan et al, 2003. Mannan et al, "Screening of Local and Exotic Brinjal Varieties/Cultivars for Resistance to Brinjal Shoot and Fruit Borer, *Leucinodes orbonalis* Guen" Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences 6(5): 488-492, 2003
Screening of Local and Exotic Brinjal Varieties/Cultivars for Resistance to Brinjal Shoot and Fruit Borer, *Leucinodes orbonalis* Guen.
 - IFPRI, 2019. Akhter, U. Ahmed et al " Impacts of Bt brinjal (eggplant) technology in Bangladesh", IFPRI, Bangladesh and USAID, August 2019
 - New Age, 2014. New Age, "Bt brinjal cultivation ruins Gazipur farmers" May 7, 2014 <http://www.newagebd.net/9116/bt-brinjal-farming-ruins-gazipur-farmers/>
 - Lynas, 2014. Lynas, Mark; "Bt brinjal in Bangladesh: The true story" 8 May, 2014 <https://www.marklynas.org/2014/05/bt-brinjal-in-bangladesh-the-true-story/>
 - GMW, 2015. GM Watch; Mark Lynas accused of fabricating story in the New York Times , 17 May 2015 <https://www.gmwatch.org/en/news/latest-news/87-news/archive/2015/16175-mark-lynas-accused-of-fabricating-story-in-the-new-york-times>
 - Robinson, 2015. Robinson, Claire, and GM Watch "GMO propaganda over facts? BBC Panorama and Bt brinjal" Ecologist 30 July, 2015
<https://theecologist.org/2015/jul/30/gmo-propaganda-over-facts-bbc-panorama-and-bt-brinjal>
 - GMW, 2015. GM Watch "Bangladesh NGO report challenges BBC claim of 90% success for Bt brinjal" 18 November 2015
<https://www.gmwatch.org/en/news/archive/2015/16537-bangladesh-ngo-report-challenges-bbc-claim-of-90-success-for-bt-brinjal>